Case 2:24-cv-01844-DJC-AC	Document 31	L Filed 03/03/2	25 Page 1 of 4
ROB BONTA, State Bar No. 20266	58		
Attorney General of California			
ALICIA A. BOWER, State Bar No. Supervising Deputy Attorney Ger			
ARTHUR B. MARK III, State Bar N Deputy Attorney General			
1300 I Street, Suite 125			
P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550			
Telephone: (916) 210-7345			
Fax: (916) 324-5205 E-mail: Arthur.Mark@doj.ca.g	ov		
Attorneys for Defendants J. Macomber, L. Gonzalez and R.	Montes		
,			OUDT
INTHE	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT C	UUKI
FOR THE	EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALI	FORNIA
	SACRAMEN	TO DIVISION	
JANE DOE #2,		2:24-cv-1844 DJ	IC AC P
VIII (B B O E 112)	D1-:4:00		
	Plaintiff,	TIME FOR DE	TO FURTHER EXTEND FENDANTS GONZALEZ,
v.			AND MONTES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST
MADOUG IOUNGON A I			OMPLAINT; AND
MARCUS JOHNSON, et al.,		[PROPOSED] (ORDER
	Defendants.	Judge: H	onorable Allison Claire
		Trial Date: N	one Set
		Action Filed: Ju	aly 1, 2024
	STIPUL	ATION	
Pursuant to Federal Rule of	f Civil Procedure	e 6(b), Plaintiff D	oe #2 and Defendants
Macomber, Montes, and Gonzale	z, by and throug	gh their attorneys	of record, stipulate as follows:
1. Plaintiff Jane Doe #2	filed her operat	ive First Amende	d Complaint on November 21,
2024 (ECF No. 20).			
2. On December 6, 2024	4, the Court issu	ed its screening o	rder regarding the First
Amended Complaint and ordered	Defendants Go	nzalez, Macombe	r, and Montes (Defendants) ¹ to
1 The undersigned course	1 does not renre	sent Defendant Io	hnson. On January 30, 2025,

Defendants' initial deadline December 27, 2024 (ECF No. 21).

respond to the First Amended Complaint within 21 days of the filing of the order, making

6

10

8

11 12

14 15

13

17

18

16

19 20

21

23

22

24

25 26

27

28

- 3. On December 26, 2024, the Court granted the parties' stipulated request to extend the time for Defendants to respond to the First Amended Complaint until January 24, 2025. (ECF No. 24.)
- 4. On January 27, 2025, this Court granted the parties' stipulated request to extend the time for Defendants to respond to the First Amended Complaint until February 28, 2025. (ECF 26.)
- 5. Since that extension, the parties have negotiated the terms of a stipulated protective order that was submitted on February 24, 2025 (ECF 29). The protective order was executed to facilitate an early production of documents by Defendants by March 14, 2025, as part of the parties' efforts to resolve Defendants' arguments for dismissal. Additional time is required to allow the parties additional time to further meet and confer on the grounds for dismissal, including the production of documents by Defendants and review of those documents by Plaintiff. The parties also require additional time to explore early ADR in this matter.
- 6. Additional is time is also needed because Defendants' counsel has been occupied with other pressing matters, including preparation and filing of a motion to dismiss in the matter of Coleman v. CDCR et al., No. 2:21-cv-625 and a settlement conference in the matter of Campbell v. Ruiz, No. 2:24-cv-119. In addition, Plaintiff's counsel has been occupied with other pressing matters, including the filing of a class action case in California Coalition of Women Prisoners, et al. v. Scott Lee, M.D., et al., Case No. 5:25-cv-00283 (SP) (C.D.Cal. 2025) and meeting and conferring on a dispositive motion in Matthews v. Pinchback, et al., Case No. 2:22cv-01329 (DJC)(CSK) (E.D.Cal. 2022). Additionally, Plaintiff's counsel will be away on a preplanned vacation from March 27 to April 11, 2025.
- 7. The parties have conferred and agree that additional time to respond to the First Amended Complaint until April 11, 2025, will allow the parties time to exchange documents, conduct additional investigation concerning Plaintiff's claims, meet and confer further regarding Plaintiff's claims, and consider whether early ADR is appropriate for this matter.